

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,747	9,747 01/15/2004		Johan D. Overby	9131.0020-00	6889	
22852	2 7590 11/22/2006 .			EXAMINER		
FINNEGA	N, HEND	ERSON, FARAB	WHITTINGTON, KENNETH			
LLP	0077 4377			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2862	TAI BE NOMBER		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/759,747	OVERBY ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth J. Whittington	2862	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	EDWARD ZEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
13. Other:	MMM
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1111.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. So 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entered.	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a No because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it or other evidence is necessary and
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-17 and 25-27</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 18 and 21-24. Claim(s) objected to: 30-32. Claim(s) rejected: 28,29 and 33-35.	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	I be entered and an explanation of
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliance. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reje	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE) (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially red 	•
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief,	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate for the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply originate for the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply originate for the corresponding amount of	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection.
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth 	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affi places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address

TECHNOLÓGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The Amendment adds new features to claims 28 and 35, particularly the accurate determining step amended to include " the capability to distinguish a particular type of one or more markers from the different types of markers" which is a new feature to the claims which will require further search and consideration in view of the prior art.

Furthermore, it is noted that at least the rejection over Wright et al. (US6977504) in view of Royle (US6617856) is not overcome in view of the amendments to these claims. Wright et al. teaches pulses exciting multipe marker types and the system having the ability to distinguish one marker from the rest (See Wright et al. at least at col. 12, lines 30-44) and accordingly the noted combination teaches the newly added features to these claims.